|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Participant** | **IAT score** | **Raw (uncorrected)** | **Congruent Mean RT** | **Congruent RT SD** | **Congruent Error Proportion** |
| 1 | -0.28 | -0.053 | 0.95 | 0.388 | 0.06 |
| 2 | -0.545 | -0.9 | 2.346 | 1.86 | 0.17 |
| 3 | -0.603 | -1.125 | 1.766 | 1.426 | 0 |
| 4 | -0.364 | -0.146 | 0.591 | 0.284 | 0.09 |
| 5 | -0.181 | -0.011 | 1.045 | 0.6 | 0.03 |
| 6 | -0.542 | 0.006 | 0.709 | 0.278 | 0.09 |

**[Table 1: Showing congruent condition scores with IAT and raw scores]**

**[Table 2: Showing incongruent condition scores with IAT and raw scores]**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Participant** | **IAT score** | **Raw (uncorrected)** | **Incongruent Mean RT** | **Incongruent RT SD** | **Incongruent Error Proportion** |
| 1 | -0.28 | -0.053 | 1.003 | 0.534 | 0.11 |
| 2 | -0.545 | -0.9 | 3.246 | 2.452 | 0.12 |
| 3 | -0.603 | -1.125 | 2.891 | 1.996 | 0.03 |
| 4 | -0.364 | -0.146 | 0.738 | 0.227 | 0.03 |
| 5 | -0.181 | -0.011 | 1.056 | 0.438 | 0.14 |
| 6 | -0.542 | 0.006 | 0.703 | 0.191 | 0.29 |

**[Figure: Graph depicting the difference in RTs under congruent and incongruent conditions for each participant.]**